

kadaster



Macedonia

Optimise use of professional,
reliable spatial data

3rd Mission

26– 27 January 2017

kadaster

Optimise use of professional, reliable spatial data in Macedonia



Funded by RVO
MAG 16 MK 01

Team



§ Ms Paula Dijkstra – Project Manager (Kadaster)



§ Mr Dorus Kruse – NSDI Product Manager (Kadaster)



§ Mr Jandirk Bulens – Spatial Data Expert (Alterra)



§ Mr Jeroen Hogeboom – Young Professional on NSDI (Kadaster)



§ Mr Haico van der Vegt – Sr Advisor Topography / NSDI (Kadaster)



§ Mr Alex de Jonge – Young Professional on Topographical Maps (Kadaster)

Planning

Description	Deliverable	Planning	Month												
			May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February			
Result 1: NSDI: Update of the Strategy Activity 1.1 SWOT Analysis of legal, technical, institutional, financial setting of the NSDI. Output: Report on SWOT Analysis	Report SWOT Analysis	Mission 1 - 3 days													
Activity 1.2 Workshops with stakeholders to (re)define strategic mission and vision. Output will be a report of the workshop Combined mission with activity 1.2, 1.3 & 2.2	Report of the workshop	Mission 2 - 4 days													
Activity 1.3 Assistance to develop strategy plan and road map. Output will be draft NSDI strategy report written by the parties involved Combined mission with activity 1.2, 1.3 & 2.2	Draft NSDI strategy report	Mission 2 - 4 days													
Activity 1.4 Present and adopt strategy plan and road map to stakeholders. Output will be final NSDI strategy report written by AREC and a report of the presentation to the stakeholders written executing party (PD: is Kadaster)	Final NSDI strategy report written by AREC Report of the presentation to the stakeholders	Mission 3 - 3 days													
Result 2: Updating and automate the production of the Topographical Map Activity 2.1 Visit to the Netherlands to understand and learn the production procedures of the topographic map. Output will be a visit report written by AREC and executing party including the lessons learned and recommendations.	Report visit including the lessons learned and recommendations	Visit													
Activity 2.2 Workshop on the potential tools and technologies and discussions about the feasible options for AREC to deliver a strategy Report with recommendations of tools and technologies within the institutional, technological and legal framework. Output will be a strategy report written by AREC and executing party. Combined mission with activity 1.2, 1.3 & 2.2	Strategy report	Mission 2 - 4 days													

Deliverables – NSDI (R1)

No.	Deliverable	Deadline	Responsible
Activity 1.1	Report SWOT Analysis	Last day Mission 1	AREC & Kadaster
Activity 1.2	Report of the workshop	Last day Mission 2	AREC & Kadaster
Activity 1.3	Draft NSDI strategy report	1 week after Mission 2	AREC & Kadaster
Activity 1.4	Final NSDI strategy report written by AREC	December 2016	AREC
Activity 1.4	Report of the presentation to the stakeholders	1 week after Mission 3	Kadaster

Target Achieved!



**NSDI Strategy
of Republic of Macedonia for the period
2017-2019**

December, 2016



Well done!

- In 4 months time a whole new strategy
- Shared sense of urgency with the stakeholders
- Involvement of the stakeholders in drafting the new strategy
- A strong foundation for the coming years to achieve the NSDI ambitions

Agenda – Mission 3

Thursday

- Update strategy status (process, stakeholders, document)
- Preparation NSDI Promotion Event
- Risk Analysis and Prioritizing with MoSCoW method

Friday

- NSDI Promotion Event
- Press Conference
- Follow-up actions
- Conclusions project & Wrap-up

Update strategy status

- Version 0.3
 - Includes input NSDI stakeholders
 - Reviewed by Dutch team
- Version 0.4 / Final version
 - Status?
 - Input AREC
 - Input Stakeholders?

Preparation NSDI Promotion Event

- Presentation DG
- Presentation Dutch Embassy
- Presentation Kadaster – updating process
 - SWOT
 - Disney
 - Workshop
 - Edit process
 - MoSCoW
- Presentation AREC – new strategy

Risk Analysis and Prioritizing with MoSCoW method

What are the consequences if actions are not taken or if the required resources are not available?

MoSCoW

Observations

- (No) Difference budget development and maintenance NSDI
- No detailed break-down of IT budget
- Unclear spread of the budget over the 3 years (annually / at start)
- Budget division from central government and / or NSDI stakeholders?

MoSCoW

- What is it?
 - **M**ust have
 - **S**hould have
 - **C**ould have
 - **W**ould have
- How can it help?
 - Risk Analysis
 - Prioritizing (**M-S-C-W**)
 - Ranking

Financial setting

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Budget partners	20 partners x 25k (0.5 mln)	20 partners x 25k (0.5 mln)	20 partners x 25k (0.5 mln)
Additional government budget	?	?	?
Maintenance costs			
Development costs			
Actions: Maintenance NSDI portal and licenses			

Next steps

- Strategy including strategic financial plan approved by government
- Implementation plan (3 years)
 - MoSCoW (yearly validation and readjustment)
- Approval implementation plan by NSDI council (yearly)
- Yearly evaluation

